



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/064,436	07/12/2002	William H. Moody II	CROSS1530	2492
44654	7590 08/30/2005		EXAMINER	
SPRINKLE IP LAW GROUP			WILSON, YOLANDA L	
1301 W. 25T SUITE 408	HSTREET		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, T	X 78705		2113	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/064,436	MOODY ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Yolanda Wilson	2113				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	oss			
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.			
3. Mr The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
<ul> <li>(a)  They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifying t				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	nt canceling			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an ex	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>12,13,20,21,27,28,35 and 36.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,14-19,22-26,29-34 and 37-39.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		·	•			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

# Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitations disclosed in the new claims require further searching.

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections

1. Claims 1-11,14-19,22-26,29-34,37-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Bakke et al. (US Publication Number US 20020065962A1).

#### Claim Objections

2. Claims 12,13,20,21,27,28,35,36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yolanda Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-3653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on (571) 272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,436

Art Unit: 2113

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yolanda Wilson Examiner Art Unit 2113

ylw

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 3